| Applicant | Tuolumne Stanislaus Integrated Regional Water Management Authority | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Number of Projects | 5 | | | |
| Proposal Level Score | 15 | | | |
| Average Project Level Score | 14 | | | |
| Tie-Breaker Points from Program Preferences Section (If Applicable) | | | | |
| Grand Total | 29 | | | |

| Q# | Questions | | | | |
|-----|---|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Pro | posal Level Evaluation | Attachment(s) | Points Available | Score Proposal Level | |
| 1 | Does the Proposal clearly demonstrate the regional water management impact(s) due to the 2014 drought or any anticipated impacts if the drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015? | 2 | 5 | 4 | |
| 2 | Did the Project Proponent identify the mandatory or voluntary water conservation measures/restrictions that have been implemented due to the 2014 drought or any planned or anticipated actions if drought or dry year conditions continue into 2015? | 2 | 5 | 5 | |
| 3 | Is there a map of the IRWM Region that shows the location of the project(s) included in the Proposal? | 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| 4 | Does the Budget contain a summary budget for the Proposal? | 5 | 1 | 1 | |
| 5 | Does the Schedule contain a summary schedule for the Proposal? | 6 | 1 | 0 | |
| 6 | Collectively, do the Work Summary, Budget, and Schedule demonstrate that a majority of the projects will be ready to start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015? | 4, 5, & 6 | 2 | 2 | |
| 7 | Enter up to 3 points for proposals that address the Human Right to Water | 7 | 3 | 2 | |
| | Total for | 18 | 15 | | |

| Pro | oject Level Evaluation | Attachment(s) | Points Available | Score Project Level | GCSD Water Filtration System #1 | TCRCD Regional Water Conservation Program #2 | TUD Phoenix Lake Preservation & Restoration Phase 3 #3 | THCSD Shadybrook Well #4 | TUD Matelot Reservoir #5 |
|-----|--|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 8 | Is a brief description of the project included? | 3 | 1 | 5 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 9 | Is there a project map that shows the location of the project and the areas and water resources affected by the project? | 3 | 1 | 5 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 10 | Does the applicant clearly explain how the proposed project will help alleviate the identified drought impacts? | 3 | 2 | 10 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 11 | Is each physical benefit annualized over the lifecycle of the project? | 3 | 1 | 2 | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 12 | Are the anticipated primary and secondary physical benefits of the project described and quantified? | 3 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 13 | Is the level of technical analysis reasonable considering the size of the project and the type of physical benefit claimed? | 3 | 1 | 1 | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| 14 | Does the technical analysis support the claimed physical benefits? | 3 | 2 | 6 | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| 15 | Is the proposed project the least cost alternative? If not, does the applicant sufficiently explain why it was selected instead of the least cost alternative? | 3 | 1 | 5 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 16 | Does the applicant discuss the necessary tasks that will result in a completed project? | 4 | 1 | 3 | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| 17 | Do the tasks in the scope of work include appropriate deliverables (i.e., CEQA documents, plans and specifications, monitoring plans, progress reports, final report, etc.)? | 4 | 1 | 4 | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| 18 | Does the Work Summary include a project status that indicates the current stage of each task (e.g., $\%$ complete)? | 4 | 1 | 4 | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| 19 | If applicable, does the Work Summary include a listing of required permits and their status, and the appropriate environmental documentation for the proposed project? ($N/A = Yes$) | 4 | 1 | 4 | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| 20 | Are the tasks shown in the Budget consistent with the tasks discussed in the Work Summary? | 4 & 5 | 1 | 5 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 21 | Are the costs presented in the Budget reasonable for the project type and the current stage of the project? | 5 | 1 | 1 | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| 22 | Are the tasks in the schedule consistent with the tasks described in the Work Summary? | 4 & 6 | 1 | 5 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 23 | Does the schedule demonstrate that it is reasonable to expect that the project will start construction/implementation by April 1, 2015? | 4 &6 | 1 | 3 | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| 24 | Does the application describe the steps necessary to ensure that the proposed schedule can be met? | 6 | 1 | 4 | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| | Total Project Level Score | 19 | 68 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 18 | 13 | |